

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,485	03/09/2004	Steven M. Stone	940472.267909	2291
8450 og 19/2009 Moore & Van Allen, PLLC for Lowe's Companies, Inc. 430 Davis Drive, Suite 500 P.O. Box 13706 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			EXAMINER	
			RUDY, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/796.485 STONE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3687 Andrew Joseph Rudy All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Andrew Joseph Rudy. (2) W. Kevin Ransom (Reg. No. 45,031). (4)____. Date of Interview: 18 May 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 2-6 and 8. Identification of prior art discussed: Pieper et al., US 7,099,734. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Final rejection was indeed a Final rejection in spite of Final Office Action inadvertantly not including the proper form paragraph indicating such. Applicant noted prior art, e. g. Pieper, did not meet claim limitations. Examiner noted intended use claim language. Examiner is open to Applicant going towards computer software type claims and cancelling system claims. The issue of a RCE being filed to accomplish such was discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Joseph Rudy/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687
U.S. Patent and Tradema A Office